

Lumbar Bone Stress Injuries in Cricket Fast Bowlers: A Review

Parth Bansal¹, Sarvdeep Singh Dhatt¹, Vishal Kumar¹, Sachin Kale², Ojasv Gehlot², Akhil Gailot²

Abstract

Lumbar Bone Stress Injuries (LBSI) encompass a spectrum ranging from bone stress reactions to lumbar stress fractures (LSF). These injuries are among the most prevalent in cricket players, particularly fast bowlers. This review highlights the epidemiology of LBSIs and explores both modifiable and non-modifiable risk factors associated with their development. Early diagnosis, along with appropriate workload management, plays a crucial role in the effective management of these injuries. Furthermore, structured prevention programs implemented by cricket organizations can significantly reduce the incidence and long-term impact of LBSIs in the sport.

Keywords: Cricket, Lumbar, LBSI, Fast bowlers, Stress reaction

Introduction

Lumbar bone stress injuries (LBSI) are among the most prevalent injuries in cricket players, with a time loss from active sport from 6 to 8 months [1]. In recent times, elite international cricketers for India, such as Jasprit Bumrah and Hardik Pandya, have been sidelined due to these injuries. They broadly include injuries involving the posterior vertebral arch, predominantly the pars interarticularis and the lumbar pedicle. They can range from bone stress reaction to complete lumbar stress fractures (LSF), which can be incomplete or complete [2]. Due to the asymmetrical and repetitive loading of the lower end of the vertebral column during pace bowling, along with high workloads, pace bowlers are the most prone to LBSI amongst all cricket players [3]. Prevention, timely diagnosis, and appropriate management and follow-up of these injuries are paramount for the well-being and longevity of pace bowlers.

In this short review, we will aim to provide a concise summary of the epidemiology, risk factors, presentation, injury prevention, and management strategies for LBSI and provide a clear understanding to all orthopaedic surgeons to adequately

address these injuries.

Epidemiology

Various studies have estimated that 30–67% of fast bowlers have a lumbar stress reaction on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) compared to 6% of the general population [4,5]. This highlights the impact of the repetitive loading of the lower back during rotation and hyperextension, which is seen in the bowling action of fast bowlers, with the highest impact being observed at the time of back foot landing [6]. Based on research conducted on the updated guidelines for injury definition given by Orchard et al. in 2016 [7], the annual incidence of LSF was found to range from 2.46 to 3.2/100 cricketers based on Australian and English elite level male fast bowlers [8,9]. In Australian cricketers, these injuries had the highest prevalence in elite players, with a total of 15% of all missed playing time being contributed to LBSI [8]. Despite having an incidence lower than other injuries, such as hamstring strains, the number of match days lost was the highest for LBSI due to the nature of the injury and the prolonged healing period. In a study on New Zealand cricket players between 2009 and 2015, the highest

number of match days lost was attributed to lumbar spine injuries when both domestic and international players were taken into account [10]. Epidemiological studies for the incidence and prevalence of LBSI in Indian elite cricket players (Ranji level

¹Department of Orthopaedics, PGIMER, Chandigarh, India,

²Department of Orthopaedics, D Y Patil Medical School and Hospital, Pune, Maharashtra, India.

Address of Correspondence

Dr. Parth Bansal,

Spine Fellow, Department of Orthopaedics, PGIMER, Chandigarh, India.

E-mail: parthbansal93@gmail.com

Submitted Date: 19 March 2025, Review Date: 25 April, Accepted Date: 26 May 2025 & Published Date: 30 Jun 2025

Journal of Clinical Orthopaedics | Available on www.jcorth.com | DOI:10.13107/jcorth.2025.v10i01.718

© The Author(s). 2025 Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and non-commercial reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

and above) are not available as per a search of the available literature.

Risk factors

A number of modifiable and non-modifiable risk factors have been investigated across various studies to determine their role in the incidence of lumbar stress reactions and injuries. Because of the large amount of heterogeneity of the available literature, strong evidence is not available for any of these [11].

Age

Younger fast bowlers have been found to be more susceptible to these injuries. This has been postulated to be a result of the delayed maturation of the lumbar spine compared to the rest of the skeleton, where complete ossification of the neural arch occurs by the age of 20 years [12]. In multiple studies, it has been observed that bowlers under 25 years are more prone to these injuries [9,13]. In a prospective evaluation of LSFs undertaken by Always et al., it was observed that the annual rate of LSFs was 4.90 per 100 fast bowlers in players <22 years of age compared to 2.46 for the entire study cohort [9]. In a study specifically conducted on adolescent fast bowlers, it was observed that increasing age was associated with a higher risk of LBSI; this was attributed to the potentially higher workload as bowlers mature and start turning out for senior teams [2].

Gender

There is a lacuna of research regarding the role of gender in the incidence of LBSI. It has been suggested that LBSI are more common in male cricketers, with a rate of 16.3 LBSI in male pace bowlers when compared to 3.0 in female pace bowlers observed in one study cohort [1]. The reasons for this difference have not been investigated properly, but various theories include lower workloads, earlier skeletal maturity, and differences in biomechanics of bowling actions [14-16]. In a comparison of elite Australian male and female cricketers, the injury incidence rate of LSFs was significantly higher in male cricketers compared to female cricketers [17].

Bone Characteristics

LBSIs have been seen to occur more commonly at the contralateral side of the bowling arm, with the pedicle of the neural arch the most commonly affected bony structure [18]. The most common levels for LBSI are L4 and L5, but injuries at upper lumbar levels have also been studied [3,19,20]. Conflicting evidence is available regarding the BMD at the site of injury in fast bowlers with LBSI, with a cross-sectional survey by Always et al. demonstrating lower BMD at L1-L4, but a prospective trial in adolescent fast bowlers demonstrating higher BMD on the injured site [2, 18].

Biomechanics

Biomechanical factors, including muscle mass and asymmetry of the quadratus lumborum and bowling technique, have been implicated in the pathogenesis of LBSI [6,21]. Contrasting evidence has been presented with respect to greater quadratus lumborum mass on the bowling side arm by Engstrom et al. and Kountouris et al., but currently there is no consensus [22-24]. Various types of bowling actions have been described (front-on, side-on, semi-open, mixed), out of which the mixed type of bowling action has been most commonly seen to be associated with the rate of LBSI in various studies [25, 26]. In an extensive study of bowling technique and its correlation with LBSI, the authors determined that higher rear hip flexion at the time of back foot contact; along with greater lumbopelvic extension at the time of front foot contact, had a higher chances of suffering from LBSI. These have been attributed to poor control of the lumbopelvic femoral complex during the bowling action, resulting in greater localized stresses on the lumbar spine [6]. In addition, increased shoulder counter-rotation and increased range of lateral flexion of the thorax during the bowling stride have been linked with LBSI [11, 21].

Workload

Overuse and increased workload have been investigated as a cause of LBSI in fast bowlers. Increased workload faced by older adolescent fast bowlers was theorized as the cause of increased LBSI by Keylock et al., as previously described [2]. 7-day workloads between 234 and 293 deliveries and >294 deliveries were associated with increased risk of LBSI [9]. Multiple other studies have highlighted the role of inadequate rest days (<3.5 days), and a sudden increase in workload as risk factors [27, 28].

Previous LBSI

Injuries that have not fully healed are a risk factor for recurrence of injury, recurrent LBSI on the opposite side, and chronic complications such as lthesis, degenerative disorders, and chronic back ache [29,30]. Recurrent LBSI (same or different locations) were observed in 33% of the affected individuals in the evaluation by Saw et al. [1].

Management

Pain does not have the strongest correlation with the extent of injury, and stress reactions or LSFs may be detected on a screening MRI [12]. When symptomatic, the bowler may present with low-grade chronic pain, which progressively hinders bowling and the ability to bowl longer spells. Acute fractures may present as an acute spasm [3].

The various diagnostic modalities for LBSI include Computed Tomography (CT) scan, single-photon emission CT (SPECT), MRI, and bone scan [1]. The injury can be picked up on a CT

scan in the form of elongated pars, sclerosis, or a cortical breach. The most commonly used diagnostic and screening tool is MRI, which can pick up stress reactions in the initial stages of marrow edema. The lesion may not always be symptomatic, which emphasizes the importance of screening in susceptible players [1, 9, 30].

Majority of the cases are managed conservatively with an initial rest period, followed by gradual rehabilitation and reintroduction to training [30, 33]. Healing of LBSI takes place in the form of woven bone, which is followed by bone formation and remodelling. It is a gradual process that can take up to 6 months. In a study on Australian cricketers, it was observed that 87% of all LBSI healed over time, with a 100% healing rate observed in stress reactions [1]. They found that median days to return to bowling were 143 days, but the median days to return to competitive cricket were 182 days. In the study by Singh et al. [4], the median time to fracture union on MRI was 203 days for 25/31 fractures which showed radiological union. Gradual reintroduction to bowling and avoidance of long periods in which training is abandoned have been emphasized in the importance of the rehabilitation of these injuries [3, 18]. This is important to achieve optimal performance by these players and to prevent recurrent LBSIs. In cases which do not show healing by conservative measures, placement of a screw perpendicular to the fracture line (Buck's technique) is a surgery which can be done with or without bone grafting to promote bone healing with preservation of motion in the lumbar spine [31-33].

Prevention

Screening programs and workload management for fast bowlers constitute the two facets in preventing LBSI, especially in young players. MRI has been used as a screening tool in Australian cricket and has helped in the early detection of these injuries at the level of stress reaction [1]. MRI has also been used in follow-up of these injuries to dictate return to play, although individual-specific factors also play an important role [4]. A significant proportion of injuries are recurrences with longer return to playing times seen in those cases, so proper rehabilitation becomes even more imperative in these cases [1, 11]. Coaches and trainers have to be educated about these injuries, along with the importance of workload management of young fast bowlers, especially once they graduate to higher playing levels with more rigorous schedules.

Future Research

Despite extensive research available on various aspects of LBSI, there are 2 clear gaps in research. First, considering the impact these injuries have had on the Indian national side in the recent past, more extensive research is needed in the Indian context especially owing to the possible differences in biomechanics in our population. Based on these, adequate screening and load management programs need to be instituted in collaboration with the National Cricket Academy (NCA) to minimize the impact of such injuries on our players. Second, with the advent of women's cricket; more research is warranted to specifically study the bearing of these injuries in that cohort.

Declaration of patient consent: The authors certify that they have obtained all appropriate patient consent forms. In the form, the patient has given his consent for his images and other clinical information to be reported in the Journal. The patient understands that his name and initials will not be published, and due efforts will be made to conceal his identity, but anonymity cannot be guaranteed.

Conflict of Interest: NIL; **Source of Support:** NIL

References

1. Saw A, Eales B, Jones N, Obst A, Smith M, Kountouris A, et al. Lumbar bone stress injuries and nonunited defects in elite Australian cricket players. *Clin J Sport Med* 2024;34:44-51.
2. Keylock L, Alway P, Felton P, McCaig S, Brooke-Wavell K, King M, et al. Lumbar bone stress injuries and risk factors in adolescent cricket fast bowlers. *J Sports Sci* 2022;40:1336-42.
3. Pardiwala DN, Rao NN, Varshney AV. Injuries in cricket. *Sports Health* 2018;10:217-22.
4. Singh SP, Rotstein AH, Saw AE, Saw R, Kountouris A, James T. Radiological healing of lumbar spine stress fractures in elite cricket fast bowlers. *J Sci Med Sport* 2021;24:112-5.
5. Beutler WJ, Fredrickson BE, Murtland A, Sweeney CA, Grant WD, Baker D. The natural history of spondylolysis and spondylolisthesis: 45-year follow-up evaluation. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 2003;28:1027-35.
6. Alway P, Felton P, Brooke-Wavell K, Peirce N, King M. Cricket fast bowling technique and lumbar bone stress injury. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2021;53:581-9.
7. Orchard JW, Ranson C, Olivier B, Dhillon M, Gray J, Langley B, et al. International consensus statement on injury surveillance in cricket: A 2016 update. *Br J Sports Med* 2016;50:1245-51.
8. Orchard JW, Kountouris A, Sims K. Incidence and prevalence of elite male cricket injuries using updated consensus definitions. *Open Access J Sports Med* 2016;7:187-94.
9. Alway P, Brooke-Wavell K, Langley B, King M, Peirce N. Incidence and prevalence of lumbar stress fracture in English county cricket fast bowlers, association with bowling workload and seasonal variation. *BMJ Open Sport Exerc Med*

- 2019;5:e000529.
10. Dovbysh T, Reid D, Shackel D. Injury incidence within male elite New Zealand cricket from the early T20 era: 2009-2015. *BMJ Open Sport Exerc Med* 2021;7:e001168.
 11. Farhart P, Beakley D, Diwan A, Duffield R, Rodriguez EP, Chamoli U, et al. Intrinsic variables associated with low back pain and lumbar spine injury in fast bowlers in cricket: A systematic review. *BMC Sports Sci Med Rehabil* 2023;15:114.
 12. Johnson M, Ferreira M, Hush J. Lumbar vertebral stress injuries in fast bowlers: A review of prevalence and risk factors. *Phys Ther Sport* 2012;13:45-52.
 13. Sims K, Saw R, Saw AE, Kountouris A, Orchard JW. Multiple risk factors associated with lumbar bone stress injury in youth cricket fast bowlers. *J Sport Exerc Sci* 2021;5:92-100.
 14. Panagodage Perera NK, Kountouris A, Kemp JL, Joseph C, Finch CF. The incidence, prevalence, nature, severity and mechanisms of injury in elite female cricketers: A prospective cohort study. *J Sci Med Sport* 2019;22:1014-20.
 15. Felton PJ, Lister SL, Worthington PJ, King MA. Comparison of biomechanical characteristics between male and female elite fast bowlers. *J Sports Sci* 2019;37:665-70.
 16. Bartolomei S, Grillone G, Di Michele R, Cortesi M. A comparison between male and female athletes in relative strength and power performances. *J Funct Morphol Kinesiol* 2021;6:17.
 17. Orchard JW, Inge P, Sims K, Kountouris A, Saw AE, Saw R, et al. Comparison of injury profiles between elite Australian male and female cricket players. *J Sci Med Sport* 2023;26:19-24.
 18. Alway P, Peirce N, Johnson W, King M, Kerslake R, Brooke-Wavell K. Activity specific areal bone mineral density is reduced in athletes with stress fracture and requires profound recovery time: A study of lumbar stress fracture in elite cricket fast bowlers. *J Sci Med Sport* 2022;25:828-33.
 19. Saw R, Saw A, Kountouris A, Orchard J. Upper lumbar bone stress injuries in elite cricketers. *Clin J Sport Med* 2022;32:e121-5.
 20. Ranson CA, Kerslake RW, Burnett AF, Batt ME, Abdi S. Magnetic resonance imaging of the lumbar spine in asymptomatic professional fast bowlers in cricket. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 2005;87:1111-6.
 21. Morton S, Barton CJ, Rice S, Morrissey D. Risk factors and successful interventions for cricket-related low back pain: A systematic review. *Br J Sports Med* 2014;48:685-91.
 22. Engstrom CM, Walker DG, Kippers V, Mehnert AJ. Quadratus lumborum asymmetry and L4 pars injury in fast bowlers: A prospective MR study. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2007;39:910-7.
 23. Kountouris A, Portus M, Cook J. Quadratus lumborum asymmetry and lumbar spine injury in cricket fast bowlers. *J Sci Med Sport* 2012;15:393-7.
 24. Kountouris A, Portus M, Cook J. Cricket fast bowlers without low back pain have larger quadratus lumborum asymmetry than injured bowlers. *Clin J Sport Med* 2013;23:300-4.
 25. Foster D, John D, Elliott B, Ackland T, Fitch K. Back injuries to fast bowlers in cricket: A prospective study. *Br J Sports Med* 1989;23:150-4.
 26. Elliott BC, Hardcastle PH, Burnett AE, Foster DH. The influence of fast bowling and physical factors on radiologic features in high performance young fast bowlers. *Sport Med Train Rehabil* 1992;3:113-30.
 27. Orchard J, James T, Alcott E, Carter S, Farhart P. Injuries in Australian cricket at first class level 1995/1996 to 2000/2001. *Br J Sports Med* 2002;36:270-4.
 28. Orchard JW, James T, Portus M, Kountouris A, Dennis R. Fast bowlers in cricket demonstrate up to 3- to 4-week delay between high workloads and increased risk of injury. *Am J Sports Med* 2009;37:1186-92.
 29. Gregory PL, Batt ME, Kerslake RW. Comparing spondylolysis in cricketers and soccer players. *Br J Sports Med* 2004;38:737-42.
 30. Cheung KK, Dhawan RT, Wilson LF, Peirce NS, Rajeswaran G. Pars interarticularis injury in elite athletes-The role of imaging in diagnosis and management. *Eur J Radiol* 2018;108:28-42.
 31. Buck JE. Direct repair of the defect in spondylolisthesis. *Bone Joint J* 1970;52-B:432-7.
 32. Wilson L, Altaf F, Tyler P. Percutaneous pars interarticularis screw fixation: A technical note. *Eur Spine J* 2016;25:1651-4.
 33. Rajasekaran S, Subbiah M, Shetty AP. Direct repair of lumbar spondylolysis by Buck's technique. *Indian J Orthop* 2011;45:136-40.

Conflict of Interest: NIL
Source of Support: NIL

How to Cite this Article

Bansal P, Dhath SS, Kumar V, Kale S, Gehlot O, Gailot A. Lumbar Bone Stress Injuries in Cricket Fast Bowlers: A Review. *Journal of Clinical Orthopaedics* January-June 2025;10(1):53-56.